



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,496	06/27/2001	James C. Thompson	05118.00003	8792	
22907 7	590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W			HILLERY, NATHAN		
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{A}			
Office Action Summary		09/891,496	THOMPSON ET AL.	OF			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nathan Hillery	2176				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess			
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commet. The mailing date of this commet.	nunication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	<u>ecember 2001</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaatian raquiramant					
ا_(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•			
10)⊠	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			4.40.44.10			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
' ' / 🗀	The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL F TO	-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			200			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau		eu in tilis National St	aye			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
		·					
Attachmen		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/DTO 412\				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
	rademark Office	-, <u>-</u> ,					

Application/Control Number: 09/891,496 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Preliminary Amendment filed on 12/17/01.

2. Claim 1 is pending in the case. Claim 1 is independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz et al. (US006122647A).
- 5. Regarding independent claim 1, Horowitz et al. teach that a knowledge base or other data repository stores information associating individual topics with sets of documents related to the topic, and with terms descriptive of the topic. Preferably each document has a URL or other addressing information for accessing the document over a network. Each of the tags provided with the source document is used to determine a topic associated with the tag in the knowledge base, thereby identifying the topic(s) associated with the term in the tag. Links to the documents associated with the topic are then created. The links may be instantiated in two ways. First, the links can be established using the terms of the source document which generated the tags as link anchors (Column 3, lines 37 49), which provide for defining a list of word terms having a logical relationship with said subject header; and analyzing said

Application/Control Number: 09/891,496

Art Unit: 2176

electronic documents using said plurality of lexicons. Horowitz et al. do not explicitly teach lexicon. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the invention of Horowitz et al. to provide for defining for each of said lexicons an associated subject header, since Horowitz et al. do teach that the knowledge base 130 further contains a number of topics linked together in various hierarchical interconnected graphs by relations. A topic may have any number of relationships to other topics. Each relationship defines a semantic relationship between two topics, and has a predefined type. Relationship types include, for example, parent and child relationships (e.g., "is-a" or "type-of") (Column 5, line 65 – Column 6, line 4); furthermore, it would be easier for the user to be able to utilize certain lexicons if the skilled artisan categorized the topics in such a way.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Hillery whose telephone number is (703) 305-4502. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NH

SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

PRIMARY SHAH